Law Offices of Louis E. GITOMER, LLC.

Louis E. Gitomer
Lou@lgraillaw.com

MELANIE B. YASBIN Melanie@Igraillaw.com 410-296-2225 600 BALTIMORE AVENUE, SUITE 301 TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-4022 (410) 296-2250 • (202) 466-6532 FAX (410) 332-0885

February 24, 2012

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown
Chief of the Section of Administration, Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street, S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20423

Office of Proceedings
FEB 2 4 2012
Part of Public Record

23/896

RE: Finance Docket No. 35583, Eastern Alabama Railway LLC v. Utilities Board of the City of Sylacauga

Dear Ms. Brown:

On February 22, 2012, the Utilities Board of the City of Sylacauga (the "Utilities Board") filed a Motion to Strike, which also contained sur-rebuttal to the Rebuttal filed by the Eastern Alabama Railway LLC ("EARY") on February 21, 2012. EARY is filing this letter to notify the Surface Transportation Board that it will respond to the Motion to Strike no later than February 29, 2012. The Utilities Board misstates the purpose of rebuttal and cites statements in the rebuttal that were legitimate responses to facts and issues raised the Reply filed by the Utilities Board, such as EARY stating that it was seeking an annual \$680 fee for the new crossing (Motion at 5) compared to the numerous statements by the Utilities Board about the unrevealed "unreasonable fees" sought by EARY (Reply at 8). In addition, the Utilities Board again misstates the burden of proof because it continues to ignore the distinction between declaratory order proceedings that is on referral from a court and a declaratory order proceeding begun by a party without court referral. 5 U.S.C. §554(a)(5).

In addition, the Utilities Board is arguing that EARY is seeking preemption of all condemnation proceedings, while EARY seeks only preemption of the pending condemnation proceeding. The Utilities Board also argues the facially inaccurate argument that the Alabama courts should be allowed to determine whether condemnation in this case will result in interference with railroad operations, a matter that is clearly within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Board under 49 U.S.C. 10501(b), which states "The jurisdiction of the Board over (1) transportation... is exclusive" where "transportation includes (A) ... property ... of any kind ... related to the movement of ... property... and (B) services related to the movement... of ... property." 49 U.S.C. 10102(9).

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown February 24, 2012

EARY will file a full reply to the Motion, as specifically permitted by 49 C.F.R. 1104.13(a), by February 29, 2012.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions please call or email me.

Logis E. Gitomer

Attorney for Eastern Alabama Railway LLC

Cc: Parties of Record